arXiv:1609.03134v1 [math.NT] 11 Sep 2016

CONSTRUCTION OF ARAKELOV-MODULAR LATTICES FROM NUMBER FIELDS

XIAOLU HOU

ABSTRACT. An Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ, where $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, is an $\ell-$modular lattice constructed from a fractional ideal of a CM field such that the lattice can be obtained from its dual by multiplication of an element with norm ℓ. The characterization of existence of Arakelov-modular lattices has been completed for cyclotomic fields [4]. In this paper, we extend the definition to totally real number fields and study the criteria for the existence of Arakelov-modular lattices over totally real number fields and CM fields. We give the characterization of Arakelov-modular lattices over the maximal real subfield of a cyclotomic field with prime power degree and totally real Galois fields with odd degrees. Characterizations of Arakelov-modular lattices of trace type, which are special cases of Arakelov-modular lattices, are given for quadratic fields and maximal real subfields of cyclotomic fields with non-prime power degrees.

Keywords: modular lattice, number field, ideal lattice

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11H06, 11R80, 11R11

1. INTRODUCTION

A lattice is a pair (L, b), where L is a free $\mathbb{Z}-$module and $b : L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \times L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a positive definite symmetric $\mathbb{Z}-$bilinear form [11, 17]. The dual of a lattice (L, b) is the lattice (L^*, b), where

$$L^* = \{x \in L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} : b(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}\ \forall y \in L\}.$$

A lattice is called *integral* if $L \subseteq L^*$. An integral lattice is *even* if $b(x, x) \in 2\mathbb{Z}\ \forall x \in L$ and *odd* otherwise. For a positive integer ℓ, a lattice (L, b) is said to be $\ell-$modular (or modular of level ℓ) if (L, b) is isomorphic to $(L^*, \ell b)$, i.e., if there exists a $\mathbb{Z}-$module homomorphism $\varphi : L^* \to L$ such that $\ell b(x, y) = b(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))$ for all $x, y \in L^*$ [20].

In [4] the definition of *Arakelov-modular lattice* was introduced, which is a lattice constructed over a fractional ideal of a CM field such that it is isomorphic to its dual in a specific way. In particular, an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ is a modular lattice of level ℓ. The characterization of existence of Arakelov-modular lattices over cyclotomic fields was completed in [4]: given any cyclotomic field K, the possible values of ℓ such that there exists an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ over K were listed.

The contributions of this paper are to generalize the definition of Arakelov-modular lattice to totally real number fields and characterize the existence of Arakelov-modular lattices over various number fields. More precisely, we give the characterization of existence of Arakelov-modular lattices over the maximal real subfield

of a cyclotomic field with prime power degree (Section 4.2) and totally real Galois fields with odd degrees (Section 5). Characterizations of Arakelov-modular lattices of trace type, which are special cases of Arakelov-modular lattices, are given for imaginary quadratic fields (Section 3.1), totally real quadratic fields (Section 4.1) and maximal real subfields of cyclotomic fields with non-prime power degrees (Section 4.3). In Section 2, general criteria for the existence of Arakelov-modular lattices over totally real number fields or CM fields are discussed. The necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of Arakelov-modular lattices are presented in Section 3 for CM fields and in Section 4 for totally real number fields. Furthermore some examples of lattices constructed by the methods presented are listed. In particular, two new extremal lattices will be given.

The motivation for constructing modular lattices also comes from coding theory. Consider a channel with a sender Alice, a legitimate receiver Bob and an eavesdropper Eve. Such a channel is called a wiretap channel [28]. Lattice codes can be used for encoding in wiretap channel and one popular design criterion for wiretap lattice code is secrecy gain, which gives an upper bound on Eve's knowledge of the encoded message [19]. In general, it is not easy to compute secrecy gain [19]. For a modular lattice, the notion of weak secrecy gain is defined, which is easier to compute and it is conjectured to be the secrecy gain itself [19]. This motivates the analysis of the relation between weak secrecy gain and the modularity ℓ of a lattice and hence gives incentives to the study of constructing modular lattices (see e.g. [16]).

2. Arakelov-modular Lattices

Let K be a totally real number field or a CM field with degree n and ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$. We consider K to be a Galois extension with Galois group $G = \{\sigma_1 = \text{identity}, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n\}$. For K CM, we assume σ_{i+1} is the conjugate of σ_i, $(i = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, n-1)$. An *ideal lattice* [3] over K is a pair (I, b_α), where I is a fractional $\mathcal{O}_K-$ideal, $\alpha \in K^\times$ is totally positive (i.e. $\sigma_i(\alpha) > 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$) and

$$\begin{aligned} b_\alpha : I \times I &\to \mathbb{R} \\ (x, y) &\mapsto \mathrm{Tr}\left(\alpha x \bar{y}\right), \end{aligned}$$

is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form. Here Tr is the trace map on $K/\mathbb{Q}$, the conjugate $-$ is complex conjugation and it is understood to be the identity map when K is totally real.

Note that here we consider the following twisted canonical embedding of $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$x \mapsto (\sqrt{\sigma_1(\alpha)}\sigma_1(x), \ldots, \sqrt{\sigma_n(\alpha)}\sigma_n(x))$$

for K totally real and

$$x \mapsto \sqrt{2}(\sqrt{\sigma_1(\alpha)}\mathrm{Re}\sigma_1(x), \sqrt{\sigma_2(\alpha)}\mathrm{Im}\sigma_2(x), \ldots, \sqrt{\sigma_{n-1}(\alpha)}\mathrm{Re}\sigma_{n-1}(x), \sqrt{\sigma_n(\alpha)}\mathrm{Im}\sigma_n(x))$$

for K CM. More specifically, a *generator matrix* for (I, b_α) as a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1(\omega_1) & \ldots & \sigma_n(\omega_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(\omega_n) & \ldots & \sigma_n(\omega_n) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\sigma_1(\alpha)} & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & \sqrt{\sigma_n(\alpha)} \end{bmatrix}$$

for K totally real and it is given by

$$\sqrt{2}\begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Re}\sigma_1(\omega_1) & \mathrm{Im}\sigma_2(\omega_1) & \dots & \mathrm{Re}\sigma_{n-1}(\omega_1) & \mathrm{Im}\sigma_n(\omega_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathrm{Re}\sigma_1(\omega_n) & \mathrm{Im}\sigma_2(\omega_n) & \dots & \mathrm{Re}\sigma_{n-1}(\omega_n) & \mathrm{Im}\sigma_n(\omega_n) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\sigma_1(\alpha)} & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & \sqrt{\sigma_n(\alpha)} \end{bmatrix}$$

for K CM, where $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}-$basis for I.

Let $\mathcal{D}_K$ be the different of $K/\mathbb{Q}$. Recall that $\mathcal{D}_K^{-1} = \{x \in K : \mathrm{Tr}(xy) \in \mathbb{Z}\ \forall y \in \mathcal{O}_K\}$. Then for an ideal lattice (I, b_α), its dual lattice is given by (I^*, b_α), where $I^* = \alpha^{-1}\mathcal{D}_K^{-1}\bar{I}^{-1}$ [4]. The definition for Arakelov-modular lattice was given for K being a CM field in [4]. Here we extend this definition to totally real number fields.

Definition 2.1. Let K be a totally real number field or a CM field and let ℓ be a positive integer, an ideal lattice (I, b_α) is said to be *Arakelov-modular of level* ℓ if there exists $\beta \in K^\times$ such that $I = \beta I^*$ and $\ell = \beta\bar{\beta}$.

For an Arakelov-modular lattice (I, b_α) of level ℓ, define $\varphi : I^* \to I$ to be $x \mapsto \beta x$, then $\ell b_\alpha(x, y) = b_\alpha(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))$. If furthermore, (I, b_α) is an integral lattice, then it is $\ell-$modular. But this is always true. For any fractional ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ and prime ideal $\mathfrak{P}$ in $\mathcal{O}_K$, let $v_\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{a})$ denote the exponent of $\mathfrak{P}$ in the factorization of $\mathfrak{a}$, we have

Lemma 2.2. An Arakelov-modular lattice is integral.

Proof. Let (I, b_α) be an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ and $\beta \in K$ such that $\ell = \beta\bar{\beta}$ and $I = \beta I^*$. Then $I^* = \beta^{-1}I = \alpha^{-1}\mathcal{D}_K^{-1}\bar{I}^{-1}$ gives $\beta = \alpha\mathcal{D}_K I\bar{I}$. Take any prime ideal $\mathfrak{P}$ in $\mathcal{O}_K$, we have $v_\mathfrak{P}(\beta) = v_{\bar{\mathfrak{P}}}(\beta)$. So $v_\mathfrak{P}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2}v_\mathfrak{P}(\ell) \geq 0$ and hence $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Thus $I = \beta I^* \subseteq I^*$ shows (I, b_α) is integral. □

For simplicity, we write (I, α) instead of (I, b_α). When $\alpha = 1$ we say this lattice is of *trace type* [4]. For any prime integer p, let e_p denote its ramification index. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(K) &= \{p | p \text{ is a prime that ramifies in } K/\mathbb{Q}\}. \\ \Omega'(K) &= \{p | p \in \Omega(K) \text{ and } e_p \text{ is even}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

Lemma 2.3. If there exists an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ over K and ℓ is square-free, then $\ell | \prod_{p\in\Omega'(K)} p$.

Proof. If K is totally real, for ℓ square-free, this follows from the definition of Arakelov-modular lattices.

If K is CM, Proposition 3.4 of [4] states that if there exists an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ over K, then there exists $\lambda \in K$ and a 2^rth root of unity $\zeta \in K$ for some r such that $\lambda^2 = \zeta\ell$. Since ℓ is square-free, the conclusion follows. □

When K has odd degree, for any prime that ramifies in K, its ramification index divides the degree of K, which is odd, so $\Omega'(K) = \emptyset$. We have

Corollary 2.4. If K has odd degree and there exists an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ over K, where ℓ is square-free, then $\ell = 1$.

3. CM Fields

We first consider the case when K is CM. Let F be the maximal totally real subfield of K. For a positive integer ℓ, write $\ell = \ell_1\ell_2^2$, where ℓ_1 is square-free. If there is an Arakelov-modular lattice (I, α) of level ℓ over K, then the rescaled lattice $(I, \ell_2^{-1}\alpha)$ is an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ_1 [4, Proposition 3.2]. Here we prove the converse result which allows us to restrict to the case when ℓ is square-free.

Proposition 3.1. Let K be a CM field and ℓ_1 be a square-free positive integer. Assume there is an Arakelov-modular lattice (I, α) of level ℓ_1. Take $\ell = \ell_1\ell_2^2$, where ℓ_2 is a positive integer coprime with ℓ_1. Then the rescaled lattice $(\ell_2 I, \ell_2^{-1}\alpha)$ is an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ.

Proof. Let (I^*, α) be the dual of (I, α), then $(I^*, \ell_2^{-1}\alpha)$ is the dual of $(\ell_2 I, \ell_2^{-1}\alpha)$. Note that as α is totally positive, $\ell_2^{-1}\alpha$ is also totally positive. By the definition of Arakelov-modular lattice, there exists $\beta_1 \in K$ such that $\ell_1 = \beta_1\bar{\beta}_1$ and $I = \beta_1 I^*$. Let $\beta = \beta_1\ell_2$, then $\ell = \beta\bar{\beta}$ and $\ell_2 I = \ell_2\beta_1 I^* = \beta I^*$, which shows $(\ell_2 I, \ell_2^{-1}\alpha)$ is an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ. □

From now on we consider ℓ to be square-free. Moreover, we note that

Proposition 3.2. There exists an Arakelov-modular lattice of trace type over K if and only if there exists $\alpha \in K^\times$ totally positive, $\beta \in K^\times$ such that $\ell = \beta\bar{\beta}$ and $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\alpha^{-1}\beta\mathcal{D}_K^{-1})$ is even whenever $\mathfrak{P} = \bar{\mathfrak{P}}$ for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{P}$ in $\mathcal{O}_K$.

Proof. By the definition of Arakelov-modular lattice, there exists an Arakelov-modular lattice, say (I, α), if and only if α is totally positive, $\exists\beta \in K^\times$ such that $\ell = \beta\bar{\beta}$ and the decomposition $I\bar{I} = \alpha^{-1}\beta\mathcal{D}_K^{-1}$ is possible, which is equivalent to requiring $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\alpha^{-1}\beta\mathcal{D}_K^{-1})$ to be even whenever $\mathfrak{P} = \bar{\mathfrak{P}}$ for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{P}$ in $\mathcal{O}_K$. □

3.1. Imaginary Quadratic Number Fields.

Suppose $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$, where d is a square-free positive integer. For any prime p that ramifies in $K/\mathbb{Q}$, p is totally ramified with a unique $\mathcal{O}_K$ prime ideal above it, which we denote by $\mathfrak{P}_p$.

Proposition 3.3. There exists an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ of trace type over K if and only if $\ell = d$. Moreover, $(I, 1)$, where

$$I = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{P}_2^{-1} & d \equiv 1, 2 \bmod 4 \\ \mathcal{O}_K & d \equiv 3 \bmod 4 \end{cases},$$

is an Arakelov-modular lattice of level d.

Proof. If $d \equiv 1, 2 \bmod 4$, $\Omega'(K) = \Omega(K) = \{p : p|2d\}$, $\mathcal{D}_K = (2\sqrt{-d})$. If $d \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, $\Omega'(K) = \Omega(K) = \{p : p|d\}$, $\mathcal{D}_K = (\sqrt{-d})$. For any $p \in \Omega(K)$,

$$v_{\mathfrak{P}_p}(\mathcal{D}_K) = \begin{cases} 1 & p \text{ odd} \\ 2 & p = 2, d \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \\ 3 & p = 2, d \equiv 2 \bmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Suppose there exists an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ. By Lemma 2.3, we only need to consider ℓ being a divisor of $\prod_{p\in\Omega(K)} p$. Take β such that $\ell = \beta\bar{\beta}$, by

the proof of Lemma 2.2,

$$v_{\mathfrak{P}_p}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} v_{\mathfrak{P}_p}(\ell) = \begin{cases} 1 & p|\ell \\ 0 & p \nmid \ell \end{cases}.$$

By Proposition 3.2 and the above discussion,

$$\ell = \begin{cases} \prod_{p \text{ odd}, p|d} & d \equiv 1, 3 \bmod 4 \\ 2 \prod_{p \text{ odd}, p|d} & d \equiv 2 \bmod 4 \end{cases} = d.$$

On the other hand, take $\ell = d$. Then $\beta = \sqrt{-d}$ satisfies $\ell = \beta\bar{\beta}$ and

$$\beta \mathcal{D}_K^{-1} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}_K & d \equiv 1, 2 \bmod 4 \\ \mathcal{O}_K & d \equiv 3 \bmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Take

$$I = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{P}_2^{-1} & d \equiv 1, 2 \bmod 4 \\ \mathcal{O}_K & d \equiv 3 \bmod 4 \end{cases},$$

then $I\bar{I} = \beta \mathcal{D}_K^{-1}$ shows $(I, 1)$ is an Arakelov-modular lattice of level d. □

Furthermore, we have the following observations:

1. For $d \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, $(\mathcal{O}_K, 1)$ has generator matrix M and Gram matrix G given by
$$M = \sqrt{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{d}}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \; G = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{d+1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$
Hence $(\mathcal{O}_K, 1)$ is an even $d-$modular lattice of dimension 2 with minimum 2.
2. For $d \equiv 2 \bmod 4$, $\{1, \frac{\sqrt{-d}}{2}\}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{P}_2^{-1}$. Then $(\mathfrak{P}_2^{-1}, 1)$ has generator matrix M and Gram matrix G given by
$$M = \sqrt{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{d}}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \; G = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{d}{2} \end{bmatrix},$$
which shows $(\mathfrak{P}_2^{-1}, 1)$ is an odd $d-$modular lattice of dimension 2 with minimum 2.
3. For $d \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, $\{1, \frac{1+\sqrt{-d}}{2}\}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{P}_2^{-1}$. $(\mathfrak{P}_2^{-1}, 1)$ has generator matrix M and Gram matrix G given by
$$M = \sqrt{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{d}}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \; G = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{d+1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$
Hence $(\mathfrak{P}_2^{-1}, 1)$ is an odd $d-$modular lattice of dimension 2 with minimum 2.

4. Totally Real Number Fields

In this section we consider the case when K is a totally real number field. Let ℓ be a positive integer and write $\ell = \ell_1 \ell_2^2$, where ℓ_1 is square-free. We have the following.

Proposition 4.1. There exists an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ over K if and only if there exists an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ_1 over K.

Proof. First, let (I, α) be an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ over K, (I^*, α) be the dual lattice. Then $(\ell_2 I^*, \ell_2^{-1}\alpha)$ is the dual lattice of $(I, \ell_2^{-1}\alpha)$. Take $\beta \in K^\times$ such that $I = \beta I^*$, $\ell = \beta^2$. Let $\beta_1 = \frac{\beta}{\ell_2} \in K^\times$, then $\ell_1 = \beta_1^2$ and $I = \beta_1 \ell_2 I^*$, which shows $(I, \ell_2^{-1}\alpha)$ is an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ_1.

Conversely, let (I, α) be an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ_1 over K and let (I^*, α) be the dual lattice. Then $(I^*, \ell_2^{-1}\alpha)$ is the dual of $(\ell_2 I, \ell_2^{-1}\alpha)$. Take $\beta_1 \in K^\times$ such that $\ell_1 = \beta_1^2$ and $I = \beta_1 I^*$. Let $\beta = \beta_1 \ell_2$, then $\ell = \beta^2$ and $\ell_2 I = \ell_2 \beta_1 I^* = \beta I^*$, which shows $(\ell_2 I, \ell_2^{-1}\alpha)$ is an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ. □

From now on we consider ℓ to be square-free.

Proposition 4.2. There exists an Arakelov-modular lattice over K if and only if there exists $\alpha \in K^\times$ totally positive, $\beta \in K^\times$ such that $\ell = \beta^2$ and $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\alpha^{-1}\beta\mathcal{D}_K^{-1})$ is even for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{P}$ in $\mathcal{O}_K$.

Proof. By the definition of Arakelov-modular lattice, there exists an Arakelov-modular lattice, say (I, α), if and only if $\alpha \in K^\times$ is totally positive, $\exists \beta \in K^\times$ such that $\ell = \beta^2$ and the decomposition $I\bar{I} = I^2 = \alpha^{-1}\beta\mathcal{D}_K^{-1}$ is possible, which is equivalent to requiring $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\alpha^{-1}\beta\mathcal{D}_K^{-1})$ to be even for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{P}$ in $\mathcal{O}_K$. □

4.1. **Totally Real Quadratic Fields.** Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, where d is a square-free positive integer. For any prime p that ramifies in $K/\mathbb{Q}$, p is totally ramified with a unique $\mathcal{O}_K$ prime ideal above it, which we denote by $\mathfrak{P}_p$.

Proposition 4.3. There exists an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ of trace type over K if and only if $\ell = d$. Moreover, $(I, 1)$, where

$$I = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{P}_2^{-1} & d \equiv 2, 3 \bmod 4 \\ \mathcal{O}_K & d \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \end{cases},$$

is an Arakelov-modular lattice of level d.

Proof. If $d \equiv 2, 3 \bmod 4$, $\Omega'(K) = \Omega(K) = \{p : p|2d\}$, $\mathcal{D}_K = (2\sqrt{d})$. If $d \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, $\Omega'(K) = \Omega(K) = \{p : p|d\}$, $\mathcal{D}_K = (\sqrt{d})$. For any $p \in \Omega(K)$,

$$v_{\mathfrak{P}_p}(\mathcal{D}_K) = \begin{cases} 1 & p \text{ odd} \\ 2 & p = 2, d \equiv 3 \bmod 4 \\ 3 & p = 2, d \equiv 2 \bmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Suppose there exists an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ. By Lemma 2.3, we only need to consider ℓ being a divisor of $\prod_{p \in \Omega(K)} p$. Take β such that $\ell = \beta^2$, then

$$v_{\mathfrak{P}_p}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} v_{\mathfrak{P}_p}(\ell) = \begin{cases} 1 & p|\ell \\ 0 & p \nmid \ell \end{cases}.$$

By Proposition 4.2 and the above discussion,

$$\ell = \begin{cases} \prod\limits_{p \text{ odd}, p|d} & d \equiv 1, 3 \bmod 4 \\ 2 \prod\limits_{p \text{ odd}, p|d} & d \equiv 2 \bmod 4 \end{cases} = d.$$

On the other hand, take $\ell = d$. Then $\beta = \sqrt{d}$ satisfies $\ell = \beta^2$ and

$$\beta\mathcal{D}_K^{-1} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{O}_K & d \equiv 2,3 \bmod 4 \\ \mathcal{O}_K & d \equiv 1 \bmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Take

$$I = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{P}_2^{-1} & d \equiv 2,3 \bmod 4 \\ \mathcal{O}_K & d \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \end{cases},$$

then $I^2 = \beta\mathcal{D}_K^{-1}$ shows $(I, 1)$ is an Arakelov-modular lattice of level d. □

Furthermore, we have the following observations:

1. For $d \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, $(\mathcal{O}_K, 1)$ has generator matrix M and Gram matrix G given by
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2} & \frac{1-\sqrt{d}}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \; G = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{d+1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$
Hence $(\mathcal{O}_K, 1)$ is an odd $d-$modular lattice of dimension 2 with minimum 2.
2. For $d \equiv 2 \bmod 4$, $\{1, \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2}\}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{P}_2^{-1}$. Then $(\mathfrak{P}_2^{-1}, 1)$ has generator matrix M and Gram matrix G given by
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{d}}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \; G = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{d}{2} \end{bmatrix},$$
which shows $(\mathfrak{P}_2^{-1}, 1)$ is an odd $d-$modular lattice of dimension 2 with minimum 1 for $d = 2$ and minimum 2 otherwise.
3. For $d \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, $\{1, \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{P}_2^{-1}$. $(\mathfrak{P}_2^{-1}, 1)$ has generator matrix M and Gram matrix G given by
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2} & \frac{1-\sqrt{d}}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \; G = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{d+1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$
Hence $(\mathfrak{P}_2^{-1}, 1)$ is an even $d-$modular lattice of dimension 2 with minimum 2.

4.2. **Maximal Real Subfield of a Cyclotomic Field – The Prime Power Case.** Let p be an odd prime, r a positive integer and ζ_{p^r} a primitive p^rth root of unity. In this subsection we consider the case $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r} + \zeta_{p^r}^{-1})$. Let $\mathrm{Mod}_T(p^r)$ denote the set of ℓ such that there exists an Arakelov-modular lattice of trace type of level ℓ over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r} + \zeta_{p^r}^{-1})$ and let $\mathrm{Mod}(p^r)$ denote the set of ℓ such that there exists an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r} + \zeta_{p^r}^{-1})$.

Recall that p is the only prime that ramifies and it is totally ramified with ramification index $\frac{p^r(p-1)}{2}$. From Lemma 2.3 we have

Corollary 4.4.
1. $\mathrm{Mod}_T(p^r) \subseteq \mathrm{Mod}(p^r) \subseteq \{1\}$ if $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$,
2. $\mathrm{Mod}_T(p^r) \subseteq \mathrm{Mod}(p^r) \subseteq \{1, p\}$ if $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$.

Let $\mathfrak{P}$ denote the prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_K$ above p, then [25]

$$v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathcal{D}_K) = \frac{1}{2}(p^{r-1}(pr - r - 1) - 1) \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \bmod 2 & p \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \\ 0 \bmod 2 & p \equiv 3 \bmod 4 \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

We have the following characterization of Arakelov-modular lattices of trace type. For the more general case, characterizations of Arakelov-modular lattices will be given in Proposition 4.8.

Proposition 4.5. There exists an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ of trace type over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r}+\zeta_{p^r}^{-1})$ if and only if $\ell \in \mathrm{Mod}_T(p^r)$, where $\mathrm{Mod}_T(p^r)$ is given by

1. $\mathrm{Mod}_T(p^r)=\{1\}$, if $p\equiv 3 \bmod 4$;
2. $\mathrm{Mod}_T(p^r)=\emptyset$, if $p\equiv 1 \bmod 8$;
3. $\mathrm{Mod}_T(p^r)=\{p\}$, if $p\equiv 5 \bmod 8$;

Proof. 1. If $p\equiv 3 \bmod 4$, 1 is a square in K with $1=1^2$. By (1), $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathcal{D}_K^{-1})$ is even. Then by Proposition 4.2, $1\in \mathrm{Mod}_T(p^r)$. By Corollary 4.4, $\mathrm{Mod}_T(p^r)=\{1\}$.

2. If $p\equiv 1 \bmod 8$, 1 is a square in K with $1=1^2$. By (1), $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathcal{D}_K^{-1})$ is odd. Then by Proposition 4.2, $1\notin \mathrm{Mod}_T(p^r)$. Now take $\ell=p$, we have $\sqrt{p}\in\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})\subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)\cap\mathbb{R}\subseteq\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r})\cap\mathbb{R}=K$ (see [27] p.17). Let $\beta=\sqrt{p}$, then $\ell=\beta^2$ and $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\beta)=\frac{1}{4}p^{r-1}(p-1)$ is even. Hence $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\beta\mathcal{D}_K^{-1})$ is odd and by Proposition 4.2, $p\notin \mathrm{Mod}_T(p^r)$. By Corollary 4.4, $\mathrm{Mod}_T(p^r)=\emptyset$.

3. If $p\equiv 5 \bmod 8$, same as above $1\notin \mathrm{Mod}_T(p^r)$. Take $\ell=p$. Similarly, let $\beta=\sqrt{p}$, then $\ell=\beta^2$ and $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\beta)=\frac{1}{4}p^{r-1}(p-1)$ is odd. Hence $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\beta\mathcal{D}_K^{-1})$ is even and by Proposition 4.2, $p\in \mathrm{Mod}_T(p^r)$. By Corollary 4.4, $\mathrm{Mod}_T(p^r)=\{p\}$. □

Define

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &:= v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathcal{D}_K^{-1}),\\ s_2 &:= \frac{1}{2}v_{\mathfrak{P}}(p)=\frac{1}{4}p^{r-1}(p-1)\end{aligned}$$

From the above proof we have

Corollary 4.6. If $p\equiv 3 \bmod 4$, $(\mathfrak{P}^{\frac{s_1}{2}},1)$ is an unimodular lattice over K. If $p\equiv 5 \bmod 8$, $(\mathfrak{P}^{\frac{s_1+s_2}{2}},1)$ is an Arakelov-modular lattice of level p over K.

Lemma 4.7. $\mathfrak{P}=(2-2\cos\frac{2\pi}{p^r})$ and $2-2\cos\frac{2\pi}{p^r}$ is totally positive in K.

Proof. Since $\sigma(\cos\frac{2\pi}{p^r})<1$ for all $\sigma\in\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$, $2-2\cos\frac{2\pi}{p^r}$ is totally positive in K. Moreover,

$$(1-\zeta_{p^r})(1-\zeta_{p^r}^{-1})=2-2\cos\frac{2\pi}{p^r}$$

generates $\mathfrak{P}$. □

Proposition 4.8. There exists an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r}+\zeta_{p^r}^{-1})$ if and only if $\ell\in\mathrm{Mod}(p^r)$, where $\mathrm{Mod}(p^r)$ is given by

1. $\mathrm{Mod}(p^r)=\{1,p\}$, if $p\equiv 1 \bmod 4$;
2. $\mathrm{Mod}(p^r)=\{1\}$, if $p\equiv 3 \bmod 4$.

Proof. 1. Take $p\equiv 1 \bmod 4$, $\ell=1$. Let $\alpha=(2-2\cos\frac{2\pi}{p^r})^{-1}$, by Lemma 4.7, α is totally positive. Moreover,

$$v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{D}_K^{-1})=1+s_1$$

is even. By Proposition 4.2, $1\in\mathrm{Mod}(p^r)$.

2. Take $p\equiv 1 \bmod 8$, $\ell=p$. Let $\alpha=(2-2\cos\frac{2\pi}{p^r})^{-1}$ and $\beta=\sqrt{p}$, then $\ell=\beta^2$ and

$$v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\alpha^{-1}\beta\mathcal{D}_K^{-1})=1+s_1+s_2$$

is even. By Proposition 4.2, $p \in \mathrm{Mod}(p^r)$.

By Corollary 4.4 and Proposition 4.5, the proof is completed. □

Example 4.9. Take $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13}+\zeta_{13}^{-1})$, by Proposition 4.8 there exists a $6-$dimensional unimodular lattice over K. The ideal lattice $(\mathfrak{P}_{13}^{-3}, (2-2\cos\frac{2\pi}{13})^{-1})$ gives us such a lattice, where $\mathfrak{P}_{13}$ is the unique prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_K$ above 13. This lattice is isometric to the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^6$.

4.3. **Maximal Real Subfield of a Cyclotomic Field – The Non-Prime Power Case.** Let $n \not\equiv 2 \bmod 4$ be an integer which is not a prime power. Set $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1})$. For any $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ a prime dividing n, write $n = p^{r_p} n'_p$ with $(p, n'_p) = 1$. Let $\mathfrak{P}_p$ be the prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_K$ above p. We have $v_{\mathfrak{P}_p}(p) = p^{r_p-1}(p-1)$ and $v_{\mathfrak{P}_p}(\mathcal{D}_K) = p^{r_p-1}(pr_p - r_p - 1)$.

For any divisor d of n, define

$$d_{mod3} = \prod_{\substack{p|d \\ p\equiv 3 \bmod 4}} p, \qquad d_{mod1} = \prod_{\substack{p|d \\ p\equiv 1 \bmod 4}} p, \qquad \tilde{n} = \prod_{\substack{p\in\Omega(K) \\ p\neq 2}} p.$$

Lemma 4.10.
1. For any $d|n$, d_{mod1} is always a square in K.
2. For any $d|n$, d_{mod3} is a square in K if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
   - n is even
   - n is odd and d_{mod3} has an even number of distinct prime factors
3. For n even, 2 is a square in K if and only if $n \equiv 0 \bmod 8$.

Proof. For any odd prime $p|n$, $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \subseteq L$ and [27, p.17]

$$\sqrt{p} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \iff p \equiv 1 \bmod 4, \qquad \sqrt{-p} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \iff p \equiv 3 \bmod 4.$$

Part 1 follows immediately.

To prove 2, assume $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, then $\sqrt{-p} \in L$.

If n is even, $i \in L$, $\sqrt{p} = \frac{\sqrt{-p}}{i} \in L \cap \mathbb{R} = K$.

If n is odd and d_{mod3} has an even number of distinct prime factors,

$$\sqrt{d_{mod3}} = \prod_{\substack{p|d \\ p\equiv 3 \bmod 4}} \sqrt{p} = \prod_{\substack{p|d \\ p\equiv 3 \bmod 4}} \sqrt{-p} \in L \cap \mathbb{R} = K.$$

On the other hand, assume n is odd, and d_{mod3}, a square in K, has an odd number of distinct prime factors. Let p_0 be any prime factor of d_{mod3}. We have $\sqrt{-p_0} \in L$ and

$$\sqrt{p_0} = \frac{\sqrt{d_{mod3}}}{\prod_{\substack{p|d_{mod3} \\ p\neq p_0}} \sqrt{p}} = \frac{\sqrt{d_{mod3}}}{\prod_{\substack{p|d_{mod3} \\ p\neq p_0}} \sqrt{-p}} \in L.$$

So $i = \frac{\sqrt{-p_0}}{\sqrt{p_0}} \in L$, which implies $4|n$, a contradiction.

Now consider n even. If $8|n$, $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8) \cap \mathbb{R} \subseteq L \cap \mathbb{R} = K$.

Conversely, if $\sqrt{2} \in K \subseteq L$, since $i \in L$, we have $\zeta_8 \in K$ and hence $8|n$. □

Let $\mathrm{Mod}_T(n)$ denote the set of ℓ such that there exists an Arakelov-modular lattice of trace type of level ℓ over K.

Lemma 4.11. $\mathrm{Mod}_T(n) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if

1. $n_{mod1} = 1$;

2. For any $\ell \in Mod_T(n)$, $\ell = \tilde{n}$ or $2\tilde{n}$ and $\ell | n$;
3. ℓ is a square in K.

Proof. First we assume $\mathrm{Mod}_T(n) \neq \emptyset$. For any $p \in \Omega(K)$, $p \neq 2$, $v_{\mathfrak{P}_p}(\mathcal{D}_K^{-1}) = -p^{r_p-1}(pr_p - r_p - 1)$ is odd. By Proposition 4.2, ℓ is a square, $p|\ell$ and $\frac{1}{2}v_{\mathfrak{P}_p}(\ell) = \frac{1}{2}p^{r_p-1}(p-1)$ must be odd. The proof then follows from Lemma 2.3.

Conversely, assume all three conditions are satisfied. By Proposition 4.2, it suffices to prove $v_{\mathfrak{P}_p}(\beta\mathcal{D}_K^{-1})$ is even for all prime ideal $\mathfrak{P}_p$, where $v_{\mathfrak{P}_p}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2}v_{\mathfrak{P}_p}(\ell)$. Conditions 1 and 2 ensure that $v_{\mathfrak{P}_p}(\beta\mathcal{D}_K^{-1})$ is even for all prime ideal $\mathfrak{P}_p$. □

The above discussion gives the characterization of the existence of Arakelov-modular lattices of trace type over K, the maximal real subfield of the cyclotomic field generated by a primitive nth root of unity:

Proposition 4.12. Let $n \not\equiv 2 \bmod 4$ be a positive integer which is not a prime power.
If n has any prime factor $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, $\mathrm{Mod}_T(n) = \emptyset$.
Otherwise,

(1) If n is odd and has an even number of distinct prime factors, $\mathrm{Mod}_T(n) = \{\tilde{n}\}$;
(2) If n is odd and has an odd number of distinct prime factors, $\mathrm{Mod}_T(n) = \emptyset$;
(3) If $n = 4m$, where m is odd, $\mathrm{Mod}_T(n) = \{\tilde{n}\}$;
(4) If $n = 2^r m$, where $r \geq 3$ and m is odd, $\mathrm{Mod}_T(n) = \{\tilde{n}, 2\tilde{n}\}$.

Recall that the *minimum* of a lattice, μ_L, is defined to be

$$\mu_L := \min\{b(x,x) : x \in L, x \neq 0\}.$$

For unimodular lattices and even $\ell-$modular lattice, where $\ell \in \{2,3,5,7,11,23\}$, the upper bounds for this minimum are obtained in [20, 9, 22]. A unimodular or an even $\ell-$modular lattice for $\ell \in \{2,3,5,7,11,23\}$ that achieves this upper bound is called *extremal*. For a detailed list of extremal lattices we refer the reader to the on-line lattice catalogue [24]. In Table 1, we list a few constructions of existing lattices, note that the first two lattices are extremal. Furthermore, we give a new

ℓ	K	I	α	Dim	min	NAME
7	$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{28}+\zeta_{28}^{-1})$	$\mathfrak{P}_7^{-1}\mathfrak{P}_2^{-1}$	1	6	2	$A6\hat{}(2)$
11	$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{44}+\zeta_{44}^{-1})$	$\mathfrak{P}_{11}^{-2}\mathfrak{P}_2^{-1}$	1	10	6	$A10\hat{}(3)$
23	$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{92}+\zeta_{92}^{-1})$	$\mathfrak{P}_{23}^{-5}\mathfrak{P}_2^{-1}$	1	22	12	$A22\hat{}(6)$

TABLE 1. Examples of lattices (I,α) obtained from K such that (I,α) is an Dim$-$dimensional Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ with minimum min and isometric to the existing lattice NAME from [24]. Here $\mathfrak{P}_p$ denotes the unique prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_K$ above p.

extremal lattice in Example 4.13.

Example 4.13. [New extremal $3-$modular lattice] Take $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{36}+\zeta_{36}^{-1})$, by Proposition 4.12 there exists a $6-$dimensional $3-$modular lattice over K. The ideal lattice $(\mathfrak{P}_3^{-3}\mathfrak{P}_2^{-1}, 1)$ gives us such a lattice with minimum 2, where $\mathfrak{P}_3$ (resp. $\mathfrak{P}_2$) is the unique prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_K$ above 3 (resp. 2). This lattice is an extremal $6-$dimensional $3-$modular lattice.

5. Number Fields with Odd Degree

Let K be a Galois extension with odd degree n. We consider K to be either totally real or CM and take ℓ to be a positive square-free integer. Let $\mathrm{Mod}(K)$ denote the set of ℓ such that there exists an Arakelov-modular lattice of level ℓ over K. By Corollary 2.4, $\mathrm{Mod}(K) \subseteq \{1\}$. Furthermore, by Propositions 3.2 and 4.2, we have

Proposition 5.1. $\mathrm{Mod}(K) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathrm{Mod}(K) = \{1\}$ and there exists $\alpha \in K^\times$ totally positive such that for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{P}$

1. $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{D}_K^{-1})$ is even whenever $\mathfrak{P} = \bar{\mathfrak{P}}$ for K is CM; and
2. $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{D}_K^{-1})$ is even for all $\mathfrak{P}$ when K is totally real.

Proposition 5.2. Let K be a Galois field with odd degree. We assume K to be either totally real or CM. Then $\mathrm{Mod}(K) = \{1\}$.

Proof. We claim that for any $\mathfrak{P}$ a prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_K$, $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathcal{D}_K)$ is even. By Proposition 5.1, taking $\alpha = 1$, the proof is completed.

Proof of claim: Fix $\mathfrak{P}$ a prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_K$, take p such that $p\mathbb{Z} = \mathfrak{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose p has ramification index e and inertia degree f. Let $\mathbb{Q}_p$, $K_{\mathfrak{P}}$ be the completion of $\mathbb{Q}$ (resp. K) with respect to the $p-$adic valuation (resp. $\mathfrak{P}-$adic valuation). Then $K_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is a Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with degree ef [23, p.103]. Let $G(K_{\mathfrak{P}}|\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be the Galois group of $K_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathbb{Q}_p$. For $i \geq 0$, define [23, p.61]

$$G_i := \{\sigma \in G(K_{\mathfrak{P}}|\mathbb{Q}_p) | v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\sigma(a) - a) \geq i + 1 \ \forall a \in \mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{P}}}\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{D}_{K_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be the different of $K_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathbb{Q}_p$, then [23, p.64]

$$v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathcal{D}_{K_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathbb{Q}_p}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(|G_i| - 1).$$

Since each G_i is a normal subgroup of $G(K_{\mathfrak{P}}|\mathbb{Q}_p)$ [23, p.62], which has odd cardinality, $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathcal{D}_{K_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathbb{Q}_p})$ is even. As [18, p.196]

$$\mathcal{D}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} = \prod_{\mathfrak{P}} \mathcal{D}_{K_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathbb{Q}_p},$$

we have $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathcal{D}_{K/\mathbb{Q}})$ is even. □

Remark 5.3. By the above proof, for a Galois field K with odd degree which is either totally real or CM, $(\mathcal{D}_K^{-\frac{1}{2}}, 1)$ is an Arakelov-modular lattice of level 1, in particular, it is a unimodular lattice.

Next we give an example of unimodular lattice constructed from a totally real Galois field of odd degree. To our best knowledge, it is a new lattice.

Example 5.4. [New extremal unimodular lattice] Take K to be the unique 21 dimensional subfield of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{49})$. By Proposition 5.2, there exists a unimodular lattice over K. By Remark 5.3, $(\mathcal{D}_K^{-\frac{1}{2}}, 1)$ is such a lattice. Using Magma [7], we get this lattice has minimum 2, hence it is an extremal $21-$dimensional unimodular lattice.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Prof. Frédérique Oggier and Prof. Christian Maire for the useful discussions. This work is supported by Nanyang President Graduate Scholarship.

REFERENCES

[1] C. Bachoc, "Applications of coding theory to the construction of modular lattices", *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, **78** (1997), 92-119.
[2] E. Bayer-Fluckiger, "Definite unimodular lattices having an automorphism of given characteristic polynomial", *Comment. Math. Helvitici* **59** (1984), 509-538.
[3] E. Bayer-Fluckiger, "Ideal Lattices", in A Panorama of Number Theory or The View from Bakers Garden, edited by Gisbert Wustholz Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2002), 168184.
[4] E. Bayer-Fluckiger, I. Suarez, "Modular lattices over Cyclotomic Fields", *Journal of Number Theory* **114** (2005), 394-411.
[5] M. Craig, "Extreme forms and cyclotomy", *Mathematika*, **25** (1978), 44-56.
[6] M. Craig, "A cyclotomic construction for Leech's lattice", *Mathematika*, **25** (1978), 236-241.
[7] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system. I. The user language, *J. Symbolic Comput.*, **24** (1997), 235265.
[8] J.H. Conway, N.J.A. Sloane, "Sphere packings, lattices and groups", *Springer*, New York, 1988.
[9] J.H. Conway, N.J.A. Sloane, "A new upper bound for the minimum of an integral lattice of determinant one", *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **23** (1990), 383-387.
[10] M. Craig, "Automorphisms of prime cyclotomic lattices", preprint.
[11] W. Ebeling, "Lattices and codes: a course partially based on lecturers by F. Hirzebruch Advanced Lectures in Mathematics", *Springer*, Germany, 2013.
[12] W. Feit, "On integral representations of finite groups", *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* **3** (1974), 633-683.
[13] W. Feit, "Some lattices over $\mathbb{Q}\sqrt{-3}$", *Journal of Algebra* **52** (1978), 248-263.
[14] C.G. Lekkerkerker, "Geometry of Numbers", *Wolters-Noordhoff*, Groningen, and *NorthHolland*, Amsterdam, 1969
[15] S.N. Litsin, M. A. Tsfasman, "Algebraic-geometric and number-theoretic packings of spheres", *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **40** (1985), 185-186.
[16] X. Hou, F. Lin, F. Oggier,"Construction and secrecy gain of a family of $5-$modular lattices", in the proceedings of the *the IEEE Information Theory Workshop 2014 (ITW)*.
[17] J. Martinet, "Perfect lattices in Euclidean spaces", *Springer Science & Business Media*, 2013.
[18] J. Neukirch, "Algebraic Number Theory", *Springer-Verlag*, New York, 1999.
[19] F. Oggier, J.-C. Belfiore, P. Soleé, "Lattice codes for the wiretap Gaussian channel: Construction and analysis", *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, Oct. 2015.
[20] H.-G. Quebbemann, "Modular lattices in Euclidean Spaces", *Journal of Number Theory* **54** (1995), 190-202.
[21] H.-G. Quebbemann, "A shadow identity and an application to isoduality", *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, **68** (1998), 339-345.
[22] E. Rains, N.J.A.Sloane, "The shadow theory of modular and unimodular lattices", *Journal of Number Theory* **73** (1999), 359-389.
[23] J-P. Serre, "Local fields", *Springer Science & Business Media*, New York, 1979.
[24] N. J. A. Sloane and G. Nebe, "Catalogue of Lattices", published electronically at http://www.research.att.com/njas/lattices/.
[25] H.P.F. Swinnerton-Dyer, "A brief guide to Algebraic Number Theory", *Cambridge University Press*, 2001.
[26] O. Taussky, "Introduction into connections between algebraic number theory and integral matrices", Appendix 2 to H. Cohn "A classical invitation to algebraic numbers and class fields, 2nd printing", *Springer*, (1988), 305-21.
[27] L. Washington, "Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields", *Springer-Verlag*, Berlin, 1982.
[28] A.D. Wyner, "The wiretap channel", *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, **54** (1975), 13551387.

DIVISION OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NANYANG TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY, SINGAPORE
E-mail address: HO0001LU@e.ntu.edu.sg